



BADEMN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Dean R. Brown

Serial No.:

10/090,885

Filed:

March 4, 2002

For:

CONTAINER WHOSE SIDE

WALL INCLUDES A SURFACE

DISCONTINUITY TO HOLD SHRINKWRAP THERETO

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

Docket No.

PWP0002/US/2

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled CONTAINER WHOSE SIDE WALL INCLUDES A SURFACE DISCONTINUITY TO HOLD SHRINKWRAP THERETO, the specification of which was filed on March 4, 2002 as application Serial No. 10/090,885.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

<u>Number</u>	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Priority Claimed
None			Yes No
			Yes No

I hereby claim the benefit, under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States Provisional application identified below:

3599

Number

Country

Provisional Application(s)

Day/Month/Year Filed

Priority Claimed

60/27	<u>3,491 </u>	<u>US</u>	March 5, 200	1	Yes _X No Yes No		
application(s) application is first paragrap material info) listed belo not disclos h of Title 3 mation as filing date of	ow and, inso sed in the pr 5, United S defined in T	far as the subjection United States tates Code, § 11 itle 37, Code of	ct matter of each of the es application in the ma 2, I acknowledge the	anner provided by the duty to disclose § 1.56 which occurred		
Applr None	n. Ser. No. e	Filing	Date	Status: patented, pend	ding abandoned		
transact all bu Reg. No. 32,6 Amy J. Hoffin No. 33,406; a Addre North, Suite 2 I herei all statements statements we punishable by	usiness in the faz; Dale Aman, Reg. Nond Daniel Corrections all corrections and corrections are made on interest made with fine or imput such willf	ne Patent an A. Bjorkman No. 35,897; IC. Schulte, I spondence tater, Minnes that all state aformation a ith the know prisonment,	d Trademark Of, Reg. No. 33,00 Kimberly S. Jor Reg. No. 40,160 to David B. Kagota 55082, phorements made her and belief are beyledge that willing or both, under the contract of the contract	ffice connected therew 84; Michaele A. Hakardahl, Reg. No. 40,998 b. gan, Kagan Binder, PL ne 651-275-9804. Tein of my own knowled to be true; and ful false statements and Section 1001 of Title 1	maki, Reg. No. 40,011; ; David B. Kagan, Reg. LC, 221 Main Street edge are true and that further that these		
Full name of	sole invent	or: <u>Dean R.</u>	Brown				
Inventor's sig	5-30-02						
Residence: 2944 McCulloch Rd., Beaverton, MI 48612							
P.O. Address:	: same as	above					
Citizenship: <u>I</u>	J.S. Citizer	l					

§1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.